

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/613,505	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WHITE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Christopher D Prone	<b>Art Unit</b> 3738	

**All Participants:**

(1) Christopher D Prone.

(2) Patrick Ikehara.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 February 2005

**Time:** 6:30 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 2

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 1 was discussed as being broad and anticipated by prior art of record being United States Patent 5,575,804 Yoon. The applicant then agreed to cancel claim one to pursue claims 2-10 as being allowable over the prior art of record. Applicant also recognized and agreed to amendments to the abstract, specification, and the claims, further described in the examiner's amendment.